

# Argument for implementing skew quadrupole correctors

G. Franchetti, GSI

July 25, 2013

## 1 Necessity of skew correctors

Random rotation of normal quadrupoles of average zero and standard deviation of  $\sigma_\theta = 5 \times 10^{-4}$  radians will create skew quadrupoles around the machines with random fluctuation of standard deviation equal to  $\sigma_{j_1} = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^{-2}$ . These errors excite the resonances  $Q_x + Q_y = N$  and  $Q_x - Q_y = M$ . In simulations [1], the resonance  $2Q_x + 2Q_y = 37$  have been found in and compensated. Next is a list of reasons to control these two resonances:

- 1 The resonance  $Q_x + Q_y = 37$  becomes a barrier to a change of tunes. In case of necessity of moving the working point, the periodic resonance crossing of this skew resonance becomes an intensity limiting factor.
- 2 The resonance  $Q_x - Q_y = 0$  overlaps with the Montague resonance and it may enhance (or not) the space charge driven stop-band forcing to move the working point to lower  $Q_y$ . This, however, would require a reduction of beam intensity for not crossing  $Q_x + Q_y = 37$ .
- 3 Linear coupling control is useful for emittance equilibration for mitigating space charge detuning. It may become useful for operations if needed.

## 2 Number and strength of the skew correctors

In a working diagram there are only two resonances of concern, which requires 4 skew correctors + other 2 for safety. This leads to 6 skew correctors. **The natural choice is to have one skew quadrupole corrector per**

period, the strength of these elements should be the same as for the normal correcting quadrupoles.

## References

- [1] G. Franchetti and S. Sorge, Proc. of IPAC2013, pag. 1556(2013)